

Secretary: Vote Explanation please.

SCR 1 attempts to provide support for alternative hydro power generation via a resolution that might garner federal support. While this is a good idea the method is not. SCR 1 intentionally describes a list of rhetoric most rural legislators voted against in the past. I was a no vote then, so voting yes would mean I am a hypocrite if I voted yes now. The Carrier claimed hydro power was renewable, yet his party had declared hydro is not renewable under the law. Not sure which is correct. While storage is good, the resolution is more rhetoric than substance. Therefore, the SCR deserves a NO vote as it will be used as propaganda in the future in a manner not consistent with the intended policy.

Thank you,

Brian J. Boquist
Oregon State Senator